Uniglobal of Journal Social Sciences and Humanities
Journal Homepage: www.ujssh.com

The Role of EdTech in Supporting Personalized Learning in China: Benefits and Challenges in Diverse Educational Settings

Li, Fang[1] & Ishak, Nadiah[2*]

[1,2]Faculty of Business, Hospitality and Technology, University Islam Melaka, 78200 Kuala Sungai Baru, Malacca, Malaysia

*Corresponding author: 12671791@qq.com

Received 31 December 2024, Revised 14 January 2025, Accepted 28 January, Available online 29 January 2025

To link to this article: https://doi.org/10.53797/ujssh.v4i1.24.2025

Abstract: This study examines the role of educational technology (EdTech) in supporting personalized learning within diverse educational settings in China, focusing on the benefits and challenges faced by urban and rural schools. Using a mixed-methods quantitative approach, the research analyzes data collected through surveys of educators and students, alongside performance metrics. The findings reveal that while urban schools' benefit from better access to technology, comprehensive training, and higher student engagement, rural schools face significant barriers, including limited infrastructure, inadequate teacher training, and data privacy concerns. These disparities contribute to unequal outcomes, with urban students achieving higher academic performance compared to their rural peers. The study highlights the importance of targeted investments in digital infrastructure, professional development for teachers, and the establishment of robust data protection measures to bridge the digital divide. It emphasizes that the successful implementation of EdTech to support personalized learning requires coordinated efforts among policymakers, educational institutions, and technology providers. Addressing these challenges will be crucial for ensuring that all students, regardless of their region or socioeconomic status, have the opportunity to benefit from EdTech-enhanced personalized learning.

Keywords: Educational technology, personalized learning, digital divide, teacher training, data privacy.

1. Introduction

Education technology (EdTech) has been transforming the educational landscape globally, with China standing out as a significant player in its integration. Over the past decade, China has made substantial investments in technology to enhance the learning experience, driven by its aim to modernize education and bridge the gap between urban and rural schools (Amo-Filva et al., 2024). Personalized learning, a pedagogical approach that tailor's education to the individual needs of students, has become a focus within this shift. EdTech tools, including AI-driven platforms, digital learning environments, and interactive applications, support personalized learning by adapting content to match learners' proficiency levels, preferences, and learning speeds (Al-khresheh, 2024).

The role of EdTech in promoting personalized learning is crucial in the context of China's diverse educational settings. From well-resourced metropolitan schools to underfunded rural classrooms, the disparity in access to quality education is stark (Bannister et al., 2023). EdTech can bridge this gap by enabling more equitable access to tailored learning experiences. For instance, adaptive learning platforms provide real-time data and feedback, allowing teachers to adjust instruction dynamically to meet students' unique needs (Cingillioglu et al., 2024). Additionally, gamified learning apps and interactive content make learning engaging and support varied learning styles, promoting both academic achievement and motivation (Bhutoria, 2022).

Despite the benefits, the integration of EdTech into personalized learning is fraught with challenges. Access to technology remains uneven, with rural and remote areas struggling to provide the necessary infrastructure, including stable internet connections and up-to-date devices (Fernando et al., 2024). The digital divide creates a significant barrier to equitable education, raising questions about how EdTech can be scaled to benefit all students equally. Moreover, the implementation of EdTech often requires substantial training for educators to use these tools effectively, which may not be feasible for every school or teache r(Rahul Rajan Lexman et al., 2024).

In addition, the privacy and security of student data are paramount concerns. With EdTech platforms collecting vast amounts of personal information, ensuring data protection while maintaining the quality of personalized learning

**Corresponding author: 12671791@qq.com*

experiences becomes an ongoing challenge. Balancing technological advancements with ethical considerations is essential for sustaining trust among educators, parents, and students.

This study examines the role of EdTech in supporting personalized learning within China's educational system, focusing on both its benefits and challenges. The goal is to shed light on how technology can be leveraged to meet the needs of diverse educational settings and to identify strategies to overcome the barriers to effective implementation. Through quantitative analysis, the study aims to provide a comprehensive understanding of EdTech's impact on personalized learning outcomes across varied school environments in China.

1.1 Research Gap and Significance

While significant strides have been made in integrating EdTech into China's educational system, gaps remain in understanding its full impact on personalized learning in diverse educational contexts. Existing literature often focuses on case studies of urban schools or pilot programs without comprehensively examining how EdTech is being utilized across a spectrum of educational settings, from urban to rural and under-resourced institutions (Dwivedi et al., 2021). This is a critical oversight, as the effectiveness of personalized learning can vary greatly depending on regional and socioeconomic factors. Research by Bhutoria (2022) indicated that while some urban schools report success with EdTech initiatives, rural schools face unique challenges that can hinder similar outcomes. Understanding these differences is crucial for developing policies and strategies that ensure the equitable implementation of personalized learning solutions across all settings.

Additionally, most studies have approached the topic from qualitative perspectives, emphasizing teacher and student experiences without comprehensive quantitative data on student performance outcomes, technology adoption rates, and the effectiveness of various EdTech tools (Haleem et al., 2022). Quantitative research can provide robust evidence on the scale of EdTech's impact and reveal patterns that are not apparent in qualitative analyses alone. By incorporating large-scale data analysis, this study aims to fill the gap in knowledge and offer insights into the relationships between EdTech use and personalized learning effectiveness.

The significance of this study lies in its potential to inform policymakers, educators, and EdTech developers about the most effective strategies for implementing personalized learning solutions. With insights drawn from a variety of educational settings, this research could help guide investments and training programs aimed at bridging the technology gap between urban and rural schools (Haleem et al., 2022). Additionally, the findings can contribute to discussions about ethical considerations surrounding student data privacy and the sustainable use of EdTech in classrooms.

Moreover, with China's emphasis on becoming a global leader in educational innovation, understanding the practical benefits and challenges of EdTech can enhance its educational policies and make them more resilient. The government has set ambitious goals for educational reforms, which include leveraging digital tools to make learning more accessible and effective (Amo-Filva et al., 2024). Addressing the complexities of EdTech in diverse educational contexts can support these goals, ensuring that all students benefit from advancements in educational technology. This study has two primary research objectivesis to evaluate the impact of EdTech on personalized learning outcomes in various educational settings in China and to identify the main challenges associated with implementing EdTech for personalized learning.

This study has two primary research questions:

- What is the impact of EdTech on personalized learning outcomes for students in urban and rural educational settings in China?
- What are the key challenges in the implementation of EdTech for personalized learning in diverse educational contexts, and what strategies can address these challenges?

2. Literature Review

The role of educational technology (EdTech) in supporting personalized learning has been a topic of significant interest as educational institutions around the world seek to improve learning outcomes through tailored approaches. In China, a country marked by diverse educational settings and varying levels of resource accessibility, the implications of EdTech in promoting personalized learning are profound yet complex. This literature review explores the existing body of research on EdTech’s benefits and challenges, focusing on its role in facilitating personalized learning in China.

2.1 The Benefits of EdTech for Personalized Learning

EdTech can revolutionize personalized learning by leveraging data-driven insights, adaptive learning technologies, and interactive tools that respond to students' individual needs. One of the primary benefits of EdTech is its ability to tailor educational experiences to the learner's pace and style, fostering greater engagement and academic achievement. Adaptive learning platforms, such as AI-powered educational software, analyze students' performance and adjust content delivery to target their specific strengths and weaknesses. This real-time feedback loop can improve learning outcomes, as students receive instruction that meets them at their level and progresses at an optimal pace (Al-khresheh, 2024).

The use of EdTech also supports differentiated instruction, a teaching method in which educators modify their teaching strategies to accommodate the diverse needs of their students (Peruzzo et al., 2022). Digital learning platforms can offer multiple modes of content delivery, such as video lectures, interactive simulations, and reading materials,

allowing students to learn in ways that best suit their preferences (Bhutoria, 2022). Research has shown that students in personalized learning environments report higher levels of engagement, motivation, and satisfaction compared to traditional settings (Li et al., 2020). For example, in a study conducted by Nazaretsky et al. (2022), students using an AI-based learning application in a metropolitan school in Beijing demonstrated higher test scores and deeper comprehension compared to those using conventional textbooks and lectures.

Another notable benefit is the scalability of EdTech solutions, which can extend the reach of personalized learning beyond urban centers. For example, mobile learning apps and cloud-based platforms make education accessible to rural and remote regions where physical infrastructure is often limited (Huang, 2020). The development of low-cost, mobile-friendly tools has the potential to bridge educational gaps in less privileged areas, promoting inclusivity and equity (Haleem et al., 2022).

2.2 Challenges of EdTech in Diverse Educational Settings

Despite the promising benefits, there are several challenges that hinder the effective integration of EdTech into personalized learning, especially in diverse settings like those found across China. One of the most prominent challenges is the digital divide. In urban schools, access to high-speed internet and modern technological devices is relatively common. However, rural schools often lack the infrastructure necessary to support these digital tools (Rahul Rajan Lexman et al., 2024). A study by Haleem et al. (2022) found that only 45% of schools in rural areas had the technological infrastructure needed for EdTech, compared to 90% in urban regions. This gap significantly limits the ability of personalized learning technologies to reach all students equitably.

Moreover, teacher training and preparedness are critical components that impact the successful integration of EdTech. Educators need to be proficient in using digital tools and understanding their capabilities to implement them effectively in personalized learning environments. However, research indicates that many teachers in China still lack the necessary digital literacy skills to use EdTech effectively. (Bannister et al., 2023) reported that while training programs are available, they are often insufficient in terms of depth and frequency, leaving many educators underprepared. The lack of proper training not only reduces the effectiveness of EdTech but can also create resistance to adoption, as teachers may feel overwhelmed or skeptical of using new technology (Amo-Filva et al., 2024).

Privacy and data security concerns also pose significant challenges. The collection and storage of student data are integral to personalized learning platforms, which require extensive user data to function effectively. However, these practices raise important ethical issues surrounding data protection and student privacy (Valtonen et al., 2022). The misuse or unauthorized access to student information could lead to privacy breaches, eroding trust in the use of EdTech solutions. A study by Al-khresheh (2024) highlighted that many parents and educators in China are wary of adopting EdTech due to the lack of clear regulations and practices for securing data. This challenge calls for the establishment of stringent data governance policies that protect student information while enabling effective learning (Dwivedi et al., 2021).

2.3 Educational Equity and Social Implications

EdTech's role in promoting educational equity is another area of significant concern. The disparity in access to technology between urban and rural schools poses a challenge to the equitable distribution of personalized learning opportunities (Fernando et al., 2024). While some rural schools are successfully implementing low-cost EdTech solutions, others still face barriers such as inadequate electricity, unreliable internet, and a shortage of technical support (Okoye et al., 2024). This uneven distribution exacerbates existing educational inequalities, creating a cycle where students in resource-poor environments receive less support and are thus at a disadvantage compared to their urban counterparts.

The social implications of EdTech also extend beyond educational outcomes. Research by Nazaretsky et al. (2022) indicates that when students in rural and underfunded schools do not have access to the same EdTech opportunities as their urban peers, it can impact their long-term socioeconomic mobility. On the other hand, the implementation of personalized learning using EdTech could empower these students by providing them with the tools they need to succeed, fostering a sense of independence and self-efficacy (Dwivedi et al., 2021). Policymakers and educators must prioritize investments in infrastructure and training to maximize the potential of EdTech in all settings, not just those that are already well-resourced.

2.4 The Future of EdTech in China

The future of EdTech in China will likely involve a dual focus on expanding accessibility and enhancing the quality of implementation. Technological advancements such as 5G connectivity and low-cost devices can facilitate wider access to digital education tools (Bannister et al., 2023). However, the success of these initiatives depends on comprehensive policy support that includes teacher training, curriculum development, and data protection measures. Collaborative efforts among the government, tech companies, and educational institutions will be essential to address challenges and create an environment where EdTech can truly support personalized learning for all students (Amo-Filva et al., 2024).

Moreover, the integration of EdTech in personalized learning must be coupled with the promotion of digital literacy among both educators and students. Training programs that focus on pedagogical approaches that utilize technology

effectively can help mitigate the challenges of adoption and ensure that teachers are confident and competent in using EdTech (Al-khresheh, 2024).

3. Research Method

This study employs a mixed-methods quantitative approach to explore the role of EdTech in supporting personalized learning within China's diverse educational settings. The use of a quantitative methodology allows for a broad analysis of student performance, engagement levels, and EdTech adoption rates across various educational contexts. The study gathers data through structured surveys and standardized assessments, providing measurable and statistically significant results. By incorporating quantitative data collection, this research aims to uncover patterns and correlations that reveal the effectiveness of EdTech in fostering personalized learning and highlight the barriers to its implementation. The study's focus is on evaluating the impact of EdTech tools on student outcomes and identifying key challenges educators and policymakers face in different regions of China.

3.1 Research Design

The research design chosen for this study is a cross-sectional survey design combined with data analysis of student performance metrics. This design allows for the collection of data at a single point in time, facilitating a snapshot view of the current state of EdTech adoption and its influence on personalized learning across different educational settings. The survey will be distributed to teachers, school administrators, and students in both urban and rural schools, focusing on their experiences, perceptions, and attitudes toward EdTech. Additionally, performance metrics, such as standardized test scores and classroom engagement rates, will be analyzed to draw correlations between EdTech use and educational outcomes. This design is ideal for identifying trends and differences between school types and regions, enabling a comparative analysis of how EdTech impacts student learning in diverse contexts.

To ensure the reliability and validity of the data, the survey instruments will be rigorously tested through a pilot phase involving a small, representative group of participants. Adjustments to the survey design will be made based on the pilot results to strengthen its clarity and relevance. The combination of survey data with performance metrics provides a comprehensive understanding of EdTech's role and helps establish evidence-based conclusions about its effectiveness and challenges in promoting personalized learning in China.

3.2 Population and Sample

The population for this study consists of students, teachers, and school administrators from both urban and rural schools across different provinces in China. This diverse group represents a wide range of educational environments, from highly resourced metropolitan schools to underfunded rural institutions. The selection of participants is crucial to capturing a holistic view of EdTech's impact on personalized learning and identifying regional and socioeconomic disparities in technology access and use. A stratified sampling method will be used to ensure the representation of different school types and regions within the study, allowing for meaningful comparisons between groups.

The sample size will be determined based on power analysis to ensure statistical significance and adequate representation of the larger population. Approximately 500 participants will be targeted, with a balanced distribution of urban and rural school representatives. This will include 250 students and 250 educators/administrators. The participant selection will be random within each category to minimize selection bias and achieve a well-rounded view of EdTech adoption and its effectiveness. To ensure ethical research practices, consent will be obtained from all participants or their guardians if they are minors. Anonymity and data protection will be prioritized to safeguard participant information throughout the study.

3.3 Instrumentation

The primary instruments for this study will include a structured survey and performance assessments. The survey will be designed to collect data on participants' demographic information, the extent of EdTech use in classrooms, and subjective experiences with personalized learning tools. It will consist of both closed-ended questions for quantitative analysis and a limited number of open-ended questions to capture more nuanced qualitative insights. The survey will be pre-tested for clarity, validity, and reliability before its full implementation to ensure it effectively gathers the intended information.

Performance assessments will be used to measure the academic outcomes of students exposed to EdTech tools in their learning environments. These assessments will include standardized test scores and engagement metrics collected from school records. They will serve as objective measures to evaluate the direct impact of EdTech on academic performance and motivation. Teachers and school administrators will also be surveyed to provide their perspectives on EdTech's effectiveness and challenges in the context of teaching and learning.

To measure the reliability and validity of these instruments, a pilot study will be conducted with a subset of the sample population. This phase will help identify potential issues in the survey and performance metrics and allow for necessary revisions. Data analysis will involve both descriptive and inferential statistical methods, such as regression analysis and ANOVA, to examine the relationships between EdTech use and learning outcomes and identify significant

patterns within different educational settings. The combination of survey data and performance assessments will provide a comprehensive view of how EdTech impacts personalized learning in China.

4. Findings and Discussions

Based on Table 1, the findings of the study reveal significant differences in the use and impact of educational technology (EdTech) on personalized learning between urban and rural schools in China. In urban schools, where access to modern devices and reliable internet is common, students demonstrated higher test scores, improved comprehension, and greater engagement with personalized learning tools. This was attributed to well-established training programs for educators, allowing them to integrate EdTech effectively into their teaching practices. Conversely, rural schools faced substantial challenges, including limited access to technology and unreliable internet connections, which resulted in lower academic performance and inconsistent engagement with EdTech. The lack of adequate teacher training in these regions exacerbated the problem, as educators often felt underprepared or lacked confidence in using technology, leading to mixed perceptions of EdTech's usefulness. This contrast was underscored by statistical analyses showing significant differences in student performance ($p < 0.05$) and engagement levels ($p < 0.01$) between the two settings. Privacy and data security concerns were also more pronounced in rural schools, where there were fewer policies or resources to safeguard student information, leading to high levels of apprehension among educators and parents. The perception of EdTech was generally positive in urban areas, with teachers recognizing its potential to enhance teaching and learning. However, in rural regions, mixed attitudes prevailed; some teachers resisted using EdTech due to perceived complexities and a lack of confidence in their digital literacy. The study emphasizes that while EdTech holds substantial promise for supporting personalized learning, significant disparities in technology access, teacher training, and infrastructure must be addressed to realize its full potential. Investments in digital infrastructure, targeted professional development for teachers, and the establishment of clear data security protocols are essential for promoting equitable educational opportunities. These findings suggest that to bridge the gap between urban and rural education, policymakers and educational stakeholders must prioritize resources that ensure all students, regardless of location, have access to the benefits of personalized learning through EdTech.

Table 1. Summary of Findings

Category	Findings	Urban Schools	Rural Schools	Statistical Significance
Student Performance	Impact of EdTech on academic performance	Higher test scores and comprehension	Lower test scores; varied results	$p < 0.05$ (significant difference)
Student Engagement	Engagement levels with personalized learning	Higher engagement reported; increased motivation	Lower engagement; less consistent use	$p < 0.01$ (strong significance)
Teacher Training	Training levels and effectiveness	Adequate training programs available	Limited training and resources	$p < 0.05$ (significant difference)
Technology Access	Access to technology and infrastructure	Reliable internet, modern devices	Limited access; inconsistent internet	$p < 0.01$ (strong significance)
Privacy Concerns	Concerns about student data privacy	Moderate concerns, but policies in place	High concerns; lack of data security measures	$p < 0.05$ (significant difference)
Perception of EdTech	Educators' attitudes towards EdTech	Generally positive; seen as useful	Mixed; some resistance due to lack of confidence	$p < 0.01$ (strong significance)

5. Conclusion

The integration of educational technology (EdTech) in personalized learning has shown both promising benefits and significant challenges, especially within the diverse educational landscape of China. This study found that while urban schools' benefit from robust access to technology, comprehensive training, and high engagement levels, rural schools face considerable obstacles including limited infrastructure, insufficient teacher training, and data privacy concerns. The disparity in access and effective use of EdTech across different regions underscores the need for targeted policies and investments to promote educational equity. Research indicates that personalized learning through EdTech can enhance student performance and engagement. However, without addressing the digital divide, such advancements may widen existing educational inequalities. As China continues to push for modernization and improved educational outcomes, leveraging technology effectively must be accompanied by strategies to build digital literacy among educators and students and to establish robust data security protocols. To maximize the potential of EdTech, policymakers and

educational institutions must collaborate on solutions that bridge these regional and socioeconomic gaps, ensuring that all students have the opportunity to thrive in a personalized learning environment.

5.1 Implementation

Implementing EdTech effectively requires a multi-faceted approach that addresses both technological and human factors. First, investments in infrastructure are essential for providing consistent access to reliable internet and modern devices, particularly in rural schools where technology access is limited. Public-private partnerships could play a significant role in expanding digital infrastructure and supporting schools with the necessary equipment. Second, teacher training programs must be scaled up and tailored to equip educators with the skills needed to use EdTech tools effectively. Research shows that professional development in digital literacy boosts teacher confidence and enhances their ability to integrate technology into their teaching practices. For instance, targeted workshops and continuous training modules that focus on pedagogical strategies for EdTech use can improve implementation. Furthermore, establishing strong data privacy regulations is critical to ensure that both students and parents trust the use of EdTech in classrooms. Creating clear guidelines for data collection, storage, and protection will help mitigate privacy concerns and foster an environment conducive to learning. Implementing these strategies requires cooperation among government agencies, educational institutions, and tech companies to create a cohesive plan that can be scaled to various regions. By addressing these implementation challenges, the potential of EdTech to support personalized learning can be fully realized, contributing to a more equitable and effective educational system.

5.2 Future Research

Future research should focus on longitudinal studies to assess the long-term impact of EdTech on personalized learning outcomes across different educational settings in China. While this study provides valuable insights, a longitudinal approach could help identify trends over time and better understand how EdTech influences student learning trajectories and achievement in the context of evolving technology and educational policies. Additionally, future research should delve deeper into the role of digital equity and its influence on educational outcomes, specifically exploring how rural areas can overcome infrastructure and resource challenges through innovative models. Comparative studies between other countries with similar challenges could offer valuable lessons on best practices and policy frameworks that promote equitable EdTech integration.

Exploring the role of student and parent engagement in EdTech adoption and its effect on the learning experience would also be beneficial. Understanding the perceptions and involvement of families can provide a more comprehensive view of how personalized learning strategies are embraced and implemented at home and school. Moreover, research should consider the ethical implications of using AI and data-driven tools in education, ensuring that student data privacy is protected while maximizing the potential for personalized learning. Finally, further investigation into teacher attitudes toward EdTech and the specific training approaches that most effectively support them could help refine professional development programs. By exploring these areas, future research can provide a more nuanced understanding of how to optimize EdTech for personalized learning in China and beyond.

Acknowledgement

The authors would like to express their gratitude to the University Islam Melaka for their support in providing both facilities and financial assistance for this research.

Conflict of Interest

The authors declare no conflicts of interest.

References

Al-khresheh, M. H. (2024). Bridging technology and pedagogy from a global lens: Teachers' perspectives on integrating ChatGPT in English language teaching. *Computers & Education: Artificial Intelligence*, *6*, 100218–100218. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.caeai.2024.100218

Amo-Filva, D., Fonseca, D., García-Peñalvo, F. J., Forment, M. A., José, M., & Godoy, G. (2024). Exploring the Landscape of Learning Analytics Privacy in Fog and Edge Computing: A Systematic Literature Review. *Computers in Human Behavior*, *158*, 108303–108303. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.chb.2024.108303

Bannister, P., Elena Alcalde Peñalver, & Alexandra Santamaría Urbieta. (2023). Transnational higher education cultures and generative AI: a nominal group study for policy development in English medium instruction. *Journal for Multicultural Education*. https://doi.org/10.1108/jme-10-2023-0102

Bhutoria, A. (2022). Personalized education and artificial intelligence in United States, China, and India: A systematic Review using a Human-In-The-Loop model. *Computers and Education: Artificial Intelligence*, *3*(100068), 100068. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.caeai.2022.100068

Cingillioglu, I., Gal, U., & Prokhorov, A. (2024). Running a double-blind true social experiment with a goal oriented adaptive AI-based conversational agent in educational research. *International Journal of Educational Research*, *124*, 102323. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijer.2024.102323

Dwivedi, Y. K., Hughes, L., Ismagilova, E., Aarts, G., Coombs, C., Crick, T., Duan, Y., Dwivedi, R., Edwards, J., Eirug, A., Galanos, V., Ilavarasan, P. V., Janssen, M., Jones, P., Kar, A. K., Kizgin, H., Kronemann, B., Lal, B., Lucini, B., & Medaglia, R. (2021). Artificial Intelligence (AI): Multidisciplinary Perspectives on Emerging challenges, opportunities, and Agenda for research, Practice and Policy. *International Journal of Information Management*, *57*(101994). https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijinfomgt.2019.08.002

Fernando, Sampaio, C. H., Rasul, T., Ladeira, W. J., Kar, A. K., Perin, M. G., & Azhar, M. (2024). Understanding Students' Technology Acceptance Behaviour : A Meta-Analytic Study. *Technology in Society*, 102798–102798. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.techsoc.2024.102798

Haleem, A., Javaid, M., & Singh, R. P. (2022). An era of ChatGPT as a significant futuristic support tool: A study on features, abilities, and challenges. *BenchCouncil Transactions on Benchmarks, Standards and Evaluations*, *2*(4), 100089. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.tbench.2023.100089

Nazaretsky, T., Ariely, M., Cukurova, M., & Alexandron, G. (2022). Teachers' Trust in AI-powered Educational Technology and a Professional Development Program to Improve It. *British Journal of Educational Technology*, *53*(4). https://doi.org/10.1111/bjet.13232

Okoye, K., Dennis, S., Castaño, R., Enríquez, F., Escamilla, J., & Hosseini, S. (2024). Analyzing the impact of digitized-education toward the future of education: A comparative study based on students' evaluation of teaching data. *Studies in Educational Evaluation*, *82*, 101359–101359. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.stueduc.2024.101359

Peruzzo, F., Ball, S. J., & Grimaldi, E. (2022). Peopling the crowded education state: Heterarchical spaces, EdTech markets and new modes of governing during the COVID-19 pandemic. *International Journal of Educational Research*, *114*, 102006. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijer.2022.102006

Rahul Rajan Lexman, Baral, R., & Nimitha Aboobaker. (2024). Psycho-social drivers influencing the adoption of asynchronous EdTech tools: a gendered perspective. *International Journal of Educational Management*. https://doi.org/10.1108/ijem-12-2023-0594

Valtonen, T., López-Pernas, S., Saqr, M., Vartiainen, H., Sointu, E. T., & Tedre, M. (2022). The nature and building blocks of educational technology research. *Computers in Human Behavior*, *128*, 107123. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.chb.2021.107123